



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/683,258	12/05/2001	Kemin Zhou	3418.	1011
22886	7590 12/09/2004		EXAMINER	
AFFYMETR	•	SMITH, CAROLYN L		
ATTN: CHIEF IP COUNSEL, LEGAL DEPT. 3380 CENTRAL EXPRESSWAY		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SANTA CLARA, CA 95051			1631	

DATE MAILED: 12/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/683,258	ZHOU, KEMIN	
Ž	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Carolyn L Smith	1631	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addres	SS
THE REPLY FILED 10 November 2004 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application at the control of the control	ation. A proper reply to n places the applicatio	o a on in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	-		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the content of	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. Se R 1.136(a) and the appropr unt of the fee. The approp originally set in the final Off	. ee MPEP riate extension riate extension fice action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>10 November 2004</u> . 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR			orth in
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	` '.'	• •	
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below):	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	•	,,	
(c) ⊠ they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	<b>1</b>	rially reducing or simp	olifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.	
NOTE: These claims will not be entered due to in	nproper claim amending.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed an	nendment
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT p	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were n	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we			ns t
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: 21 and 23-32.			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>18-20 and 22</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	,		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·	
10. Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	<del>_</del>	

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Claims 21 and 23 have improper claim amendments. Added text must be shown by underlining. Deleted text must be shown by strikethrough with two exceptions: (1) For deletion of five or fewer consecutive letters, double brackets may be used. (2) If strikethrough cannot be easily perceived, deleted text must be shown by double brackets around the deleted text. For example, in claim 21 the intial word "The" must be deleted, the word "method" was previously present in the claim so no markings are needed, the words "of Claim 20" need to be deleted, and so forth (see previous set of entered claims, filed 4/7/04). Since the amendment will not be entered, all of the rejections and objections are maintained from the previous final office action. If the proposed amendment had been entered, the prior art rejection for claims 18-20 and 22 as well as the objections to claims 21 and 23-32 would have been removed.

PRIMARY EXAMINER